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Fortran 2008 is now in FDIS ballot: only ‘typos’ permitted at this stage. We will summarize the changes from Fortran 2003.

The biggest is the addition of coarrays. We will introduce these and explain why we believe that they will lead to easier development of parallel programs, faster execution times, and better maintainability.
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The biggest change – addition of coarrays

Coarrays are the brain-child of Bob Numrich (Minnesota Supercomputing Institute, formerly Cray).

The original design objectives were for
• A simple extension to Fortran
• Small demands on the implementors
• Retain optimization between synchronizations
• Make remote references apparent
• Provide scope for optimization of communication

A subset has been implemented by Cray for some ten years.

Coarrays have been added to the g95 compiler, are being added to gfort, and for Intel ‘are at the top of our development list’.

This is the main topic of the talk.
Enhanced module facilities (TR)

If a huge module is split into several modules:

- Internal parts exposed
- Any change leads to compilation cascade

Solution:

- Submodules contain definitions of procedures whose interfaces are in the module itself
- Users have access these procedures, but no recompilation of user code needed if submodule changes
- Submodules have full access by host association
- Submodules can be compiled independently
Enhanced performance

• contiguous attribute

Arrays need not be contiguous in Fortran, e.g. the section \(a(1:n:2)\). Can lead to performance loss for pointer and assumed-shape arrays. Users can now promise not to let this happen.

• do concurrent

Iterations of the loop are independent. Allows low-level optimizations such as vectorization.
Minor technical changes

- The `block` construct with declarations
- Many more (19) intrinsic procedures for bit processing
- Intrinsics for Bessel functions
- Intrinsics for error and gamma functions
- Can execute an external program
- Can enquire about the compiler and compiler options used
- Internal procedure allowed as actual argument
- Elemental procedures that are not pure
- Lots more

Full summary: WG5 N1828
(Google WG5 N1828)
Summary of coarray model

- SPMD – Single Program, Multiple Data
- Replicated to a number of images (probably as executables)
- Number of images fixed during execution
- Each image has its own set of variables
- Coarrays are like ordinary variables but have second set of subscripts in [ ] for access between images
- Images mostly execute asynchronously
- Synchronization: sync all, sync images, lock, unlock, allocate, deallocate, critical construct
- Intrinsics: this_image, num_images, image_index

Full summary: WG5 N1824
(Google WG5 N1824)
Examples of coarray syntax

real :: r[*], s[0:*] ! Scalar coarrays
real,save :: x(n)[*] ! Array coarray

type(u),save :: u2(m,n)[np,*]
! Coarrays always have assumed
! cosize (equal to number of images)

real :: t ! Local
integer p, q, index(n) ! variables
    :
t = s[p]
x(:) = x(:)[p]
! Reference without [] is to local object
x(:)[p] = x(:)
u2(i,j)%b(:) = u2(i,j)[p,q]%b(:)
Implementation model

Usually, each image resides on one core.

However, several images may share a core (e.g. for debugging) and one image may execute on a cluster (e.g. with OpenMP).

A coarray has the same set of bounds on all images, so the compiler may arrange that it occupies the same set of addresses within each image (known as symmetric memory).

On a shared-memory machine, a coarray might be implemented as a single large array.

On any machine, a coarray may be implemented so that each image can calculate the memory address of an element on another image.
Synchronization

With a few exceptions, the images execute asynchronously. If syncs are needed, the user supplies them explicitly.

**Barrier on all images**

`sync all`

**Wait for others**

`sync images (image-set)`

**Limit execution to one image at a time**

```
critical
  :
end critical
```

**Limit execution in a more flexible way**

```
lock (lock_var[6])
unlock (lock_var[6])
```

These are known as **image control statements**.
The sync images statement

Ex 1: make other images to wait for image 1:
if (this_image() == 1) then
  ! Set up coarray data for other images
  sync images(*)
else
  sync images(1)
  ! Use the data set up by image 1
end if

Ex 2: impose the fixed order 1, 2, ... on images:
real :: a, asum[*]
integer :: me, ne
me = this_image()
ne = num_images()
if(me==1) then
  asum = a
else
  sync images( me-1 )
  asum = asum[me-1] + a
end if
if(me<ne) sync images( me+1 )
**Execution segments**

On an image, the sequence of statements executed before the first image control statement or between two of them is known as a segment.

For example, this code reads a value on image 1 and broadcasts it.

```fortran
real :: p[*]
  : ! Segment 1
sync all
if (this_image()==1) then ! Segment 2
  read (*,*) p ! :
  do i = 2, num_images() ! :
    p[i] = p ! :
  end do ! :
end if ! Segment 2
sync all
  : ! Segment 3
```
Execution segments (cont)

Here we show three segments.

On any image, these are executed in order, Segment 1, Segment 2, Segment 3.

The `sync all` statements ensure that Segment 1 on any image precedes Segment 2 on any other image and similarly for Segments 2 and 3.

However, two segments 1 on different images are unordered.

Overall, we have a partial ordering.

**Important rule**: if a variable is defined in a segment, it must not be referenced, defined, or become undefined in another segment unless the segments are ordered.
Dynamic coarrays

Only dynamic form: the allocatable coarray.

real, allocatable :: a(:,:), s(:,:,)

allocate ( a(n)*, s[-1:p,0:*] )

All images synchronize at an allocate or deallocate statement so that they can all perform their allocations and deallocations in the same order. The bounds, cobounds, and length parameters must not vary between images.

An allocatable coarray may be a component of a structure provided the structure and all its ancestors are scalars that are neither pointers nor coarrays.

A coarray is not allowed to be a pointer.
Non-coarray dummy arguments

A coarray may be associated as an actual argument with a non-coarray dummy argument (nothing special about this).

A coindexed object (with square brackets) may be associated as an actual argument with a non-coarray dummy argument. Copy-in copy-out is to be expected.

These properties are very important for using existing code.
Coarray dummy arguments

A dummy argument may be a coarray. It may be of explicit shape, assumed size, assumed shape, or allocatable:

```fortran
subroutine subr(n,w,x,y,z)
    integer :: n
    real :: w(n)[n,*] ! Explicit shape
    real :: x(n,*)[*] ! Assumed size
    real :: y(:,:)[*] ! Assumed shape
    real, allocatable :: z(:)[:,:]

Where the bounds or cobounds are declared, there is no requirement for consistency between images. The local values are used to interpret a remote reference. Different images may be working independently.

There are rules to ensure that copy-in copy-out of a coarray is never needed.
Coarrays and save attribute

Unless allocatable, a coarray local to a procedure must be given the \texttt{save} attribute.

This is to avoid the need for synchronization of all images on entry and return.

Similarly, automatic-array coarrays

\begin{verbatim}
subroutine subr (n)
    integer :: n
    real :: w(n)[*]
\end{verbatim}

and array-valued functions

\begin{verbatim}
function fun (n)
    integer :: n
    real :: fun(n)[*]
\end{verbatim}

are not permitted, since they would require synchronization.
Structure components

A coarray may be of a derived type with allocatable or pointer components.

Pointers must have targets in their own image:

\[ q \rightarrow z[i]_p \] ! Not allowed  
\[ \text{allocate}(z[i]_p) \] ! Not allowed

Provides a simple but powerful mechanism for cases where the size varies from image to image, avoiding loss of optimization.
Program termination

The aim is for an image that terminates normally (stop or end program) to remain active so that its data is available to other executing images, while an error condition leads to quick termination of all images.

Normal termination occurs in three steps: initiation, synchronization, and completion. Data on an image is available to the others until they all reach the synchronization.

Error termination occurs if any image hits an error condition or executes an error stop statement. All other images that have not initiated error termination do so as soon as possible.
**Input/output**

Default input (*) is available on image 1 only.

Default output (*) and error output are available on every image. The files are separate, but their records will be merged into a single stream or one for the output files and one for the error files.

To order the writes from different images, need synchronization and the `flush` statement.

The `open` statement connects a file to a unit on the executing image only.

Whether a file on one image is the same as a file with the same name on another image is processor dependent.
Optimization

Most of the time, the compiler can optimize as if the image is on its own, using its temporary storage such as cache, registers, etc.

There is no coherency requirement while unordered segments are executing. The programmer is required to follow the rule: if a variable is defined in a segment, it must not be referenced, defined, or become undefined in another segment unless the segments are ordered.

The compiler also has scope to optimize communication.
Planned extensions

The following features were part of the proposal but have moved into a planned Technical Report on ‘Enhanced Parallel Computing Facilities’:

1. The collective intrinsic subroutines.
2. Teams and features that require teams.
3. The notify and query statements.
4. File connected on more than one image, unless default output or default error.
A comparison with MPI

A colleague (Ashby, 2008) recently converted most of a large code, SBLI, a finite-difference formulation of Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) of turbulence, from MPI to coarrays using a small Cray X1E (64 processors).

Since MPI and coarrays can be mixed, he was able to do this gradually, and he left the solution writing and the restart facilities in MPI.

Most of the time was taken in halo exchanges and the code parallelizes well with this number of processors. The speeds were very similar.

The code clarity (and maintainability) was much improved. The code for halo exchanges, excluding comments, was reduced from 176 lines to 105 and the code to broadcast global parameters from 230 to 117.
Advantages of coarrays

- Easy to write code – the compiler looks after the communication
- References to local data are obvious as such.
- Easy to maintain code – more concise than MPI and easy to see what is happening
- Integrated with Fortran – type checking, type conversion on assignment, ...
- The compiler can optimize communication
- Local optimizations still available
- Does not make severe demands on the compiler, e.g. for coherency.
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