Implementing the Standards...

including Fortran 2003

Malcolm Cohen

The Numerical Algorithms Group Ltd., Oxford

Nihon Numerical Algorithms Group KK, Tokyo

Contents

- 1. Fortran 90
- 2. Fortran 95
- 3. The Technical Reports:
 - allocatable components etc.
 - IEEE arithmetic
- 4. Fortran 2003

Fortran 90

"I hear you think you can write a Fortran 90 compiler."

- about 18 months;
- correctness;
- no extensions Standard Fortran only! (that didn't last long...);
- modular design infrastructure;
- error detection, error detection, error detection;
- "I could do with some help on the runtime library..."!

Fortran 90 Scopes

- One of the (many) moaned-about new-fangled features.
- Basically just like all the usual block-structured suspects...
- ...except that F90 is not a single-pass language (both internal procedures and module procedures).
- Quite tricky to do properly in a single pass with backpatching (source of bugs).
- Tricky situations often involve not detecting errors too soon.

Tricky Fortran 90 Scopes

```
MODULE m
   REAL :: x(3) = (/ 1.5, 2.5, 3.5 /)
CONTAINS
   SUBROUTINE s
      CALL inner
   CONTAINS
      SUBROUTINE inner
         PRINT *,x(7) ! This is not an error!
      END SUBROUTINE
      FUNCTION x(n)
         x = REAL(n) * * n
      END FUNCTION
   END SUBROUTINE
END MODULE
```

Fortran 90 Modules

- Probably the most-moaned-about newfangled feature.
- Nearly trivial to implement (easier than nested scopes).
- "Lazy" lookup with caching...
- ...can be more than 1000 (!) times faster than non-lazy.
- Vendors who don't know about lazy techniques think that slow "compile time is proper".
- Module file format is text: nearly human-readable.

Lazy Module Handling

USE module,local_1=>remote_1, local_2=>remote_2

- Stored essentially as that.
- Module symbols *not* imported into the local symbol table.
- Referenced module symbols have local S_REFERENCE symbols under the local name (caching).
- ...million-symbol modules are fast.
- ...deep module use trees are fast.
- (Only referenced symbols checked for name clash.)

Fortran 90 Array Features

1.0a • Correctness and portability > efficiency.

- Only did unary and binary array operations.
- When in doubt, make an array temp.
- A = B + C*D becomes aTmp1 = C*D; aTmp2 = B+aTmp1; a = aTmp2
- All intrinsics by procedure call (even SIZE et al).
- WHERE construct a scary elemental affair (close your eyes and hope). Mask is "array master".
- Result: good answers but slow.
- **1.2** The best of the 1.x releases.
 - Stuck with basic design, no "performance" rewrites.

Fortran 90 Array Features, 2

- **2.0** Rewrote all array features to do scary elementalisation:
 - ...far fewer array temps;
 - ...much **much** faster than 1.x;
 - ...much **much** buggier than 1.x.
 - It got the right answers in all the simple cases, but the complicated ones... were complicated.
- **2.2** Serial HPF, including the HPF_LIBRARY module.
 - The first 2.x release to be as stable as 1.2.
 - Basic FORALL statement and construct...
 - ...ugh. But worse was to come...

Fortran 95

- A minor revision, but more changes than expected.
- Some "trivial" changes were secret...
- Release 3.0 of NAGWare f90.
- Renamed to be release 1.0 of NAGWare f95 by marketting.
- Also renamed the header file, various messages, ...
- ...but not the modules (e.g. F90_KIND, F90_IOSTAT), because of backwards compatibility.

The Semantics of FORALL

```
FORALL (i=1:n,maskfun(i))
    a(i) = b(i) + c(i)
    ix(i) = iy(i) + iz(i)
END FORALL
```

is defined as

```
ArrayTemp LOGICAL mtmp;
ArrayTemp REAL rhstmp1; ArrayTemp INTEGER rhstmp2
ALLOCATE (mtmp(n),rhstmp1(n))
FORALL (i=1:n) mtmp(i) = maskfun(i)
FORALL (i=1:n) IF (mtmp(i)) rhstmp1(i) = b(i) + c(i)
FORALL (i=1:n) IF (mtmp(i)) a(i) = rhstmp1(i)
DEALLOCATE(rhstmp1); ALLOCATE(rhstmp2(n))
FORALL (i=1:n) IF (mtmp(i)) rhstmp2(i) = d(i) + e(i)
FORALL (i=1:n) IF (mtmp(i)) d(i) = rhstmp2(i)
```

The Irony of FORALL

- "world's slowest high-performance feature" (HPC vendor 1)
- "months just to get the semantics right..." (HPC vendor 2)
- "even on massively parallel machines, it's slower than DO" (HPC vendor 3)
- The analysis needed to eliminate the costly array temps... ...would parallelise the obvious D0 loop alternative.

So at best FORALL is as good as DO, usually it is slower, sometimes *much* slower.

The Horror of FORALL

```
REAL,POINTER :: x(:,:,:), y(:,:,:), z(:,:,:)
...
FORALL (i=1:n,j=1:m,maskfun(i,j))
    x(i,i:j,j) = cos(y(i,i:j,m-j)) + sin(z(i,m-j:n-i,j))
END FORALL
```

Must evaluate rhs over entire iteration space before assignment.

But in each iteration, the rhs is a different length vector!

Implementing Horror

An arbitrary ragged-shape temporary \rightarrow a list of array temps.

- In each iteration: allocate the right size of array temp, evaluate the rhs, append the array temp to the list.
- Re-iterate: take the first array temp from the list, assign it to the lhs, and deallocate it.
- 3. In fused assignments, multiple array temp lists may be in use.

Technical Reports - Allocatable

- Allocatable components, dummy arguments, functions.
- Old style allocatable arrays were a separate address and "Info" record.
- "New style" allocatable array representation is a single struct to allow efficient selection as a component and passing as an actual argument.
- Backwards compatibility with pre-compiled modules.
- Due to C and/or O.S. limitations, most arrays end up on the heap, so auto deallocation at the end of the routine is slower than it could be (still quite fast).

Technical Reports - IEEE arithmetic

- "Intrinsic" module.
- Was originally envisaged as user-suppliable, but...
- ...due to higher ambitions, ended up as necessarily built-in.
- Better than raw IEEE, or C99 (faint praise, but NDI).
- Modes flow down, flags fly up; thus...
- ...easy to understand;
- ...natural preservation of existing performance.

IEEE module implementation

- Only if IEEE_GET_FLAG is directly called in a routine: save then clear the flags on entry, merge the flags on exit.
- Only in a routine that uses a mode setting procedure: save mode on entry, restore mode on exit.
- Parallelism and other optimisations are little impeded by the use of IEEE facilities (all IEEE semantics being local).

Fortran 2003 - Overview

- Major revision.
- Many data enhancements.
- Many i/o enhancements.
- Initialization expressions can invoke any intrinsic function.
- Many other enhancements.
- Far too big to add to an existing compiler in one step.
- NAG is taking about 5 steps... we are about halfway...

F2003 Data Enhancements

- Type extension and polymorphism (object orientation):
 - single inheritance;
 - almost completely type-safe;
 - SELECT TYPE construct;
 - type-bound procedures for dynamic dispatch;
 - object-bound procedures for even more dynamic dispatch!
- Parameterised derived types (1988's problem/answer).
- Deferred character length, scalar allocatables.
- Et cetera.

F2003 Derived Type Headers

- Polymorphic object "signature" is a **type header** pointer; signatures are needed for SELECT TYPE (type testing).
- Type testing can be done in constant time:
 - store the type depth in the header;
 - store all the ancestor type-links in the header, indexed backwards from the base address;
 - (forwards from the base address is the dispatch table);
 - test: the depth value and only one back-link;
 - overhead is very small, and per type (not per object).
- Polymorphic arrays are homogenous, so signature overhead is one pointer per user object, whether array or scalar.

F2003 I/O Enhancements

- Recursive i/o! (Only with internal files.)
- Stream files. (Both text and binary.)
- Extra i/o options: DECIMAL= (and DC, DP edit descriptors), IOMSG=, ENCODING=, ASYNCHRONOUS=.
- Systematic i/o options: SIGN= on OPEN/WRITE/INQUIRE, BLANK= and PAD= on READ, DELIM= on WRITE.
- Two ways of telling whether an IOSTAT value is end of file (vs. end of record).

More F2003 I/O Enhancements

- Standardised forms for IEEE ∞ s and NaNs; ability to read, not just write.
- List-directed output incompatible (real zero = F format, previously E format).
- Astounding ROUNDING= (and RU, RD et al edit descriptors). Does any vendor realise the wording of the standard appears to require exact i/o conversions?
 (With IEEE quad precision, we are talking 65536 decimal digit arithmetic...!)

Implementing F2003 I/O enhancements

- 1. These all point to a complete rewrite from the ground up.
- 2. Backwards compatibility makes this a little less straight-forward.

3. Limiting I/O recursion to internal files makes no sense whatsoever; we have to do all the work anyway, we might as well allow it for external files too.

Traditional I/O Implementation

- Co-routine structure.
 - 1. Establish format.
 - 2. Format processing in the i/o library... ...handing back to the user program on data edit descriptors.
 - 3. User program calls the i/o library for each i/o list item.
- Unformatted i/o has a similar structure; allows very long i/o records without overly large buffers.

F2003 I/O Implementation Details

- I/O context structure.
- I/O context stored in the compiled program, not in the runtime library.
- Opaque, bigger than minimum to allow for future expansion. (Storing it in the user program rather than the runtime library makes additional space imperative.)
- I/O context includes all formatting information, so is quite large...

And after F2003... the future?

- NAG will probably be first full F2003 compiler, but...
- ...we won't reach that until 2008.
- So Fortran 2008 had better be a small update, or...
- ...further away (like, not next year!).
- Currently the standard is trying to do a big update in 2008, before we even have *any* F2003 compilers.
- Risks becoming irrelevant to real users/vendors a mere wishlist (plan for vapourware).

That's all folks!

These slides will be available on our website.

http://www.nag.co.uk/